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#### Abstract

In this study, free vibration analysis of moderately thick symmetrically laminated general trapezoidal plates with various combinations of boundary conditions is investigated. The governing partial differential equations and boundary conditions for trapezoidal plate are obtained using first order shear deformation theory (FSDT) together with proper transformation from Cartesian system into trapezoidal coordinates. Generalized differential quadrature (GDQ) method is then employed to obtain solutions for the governing equations. Results of the GDQ method are compared and validated with available results in the literature which show accuracy and fast rate of convergence of the method. Effect of various parameters such as geometry, thickness, boundary condition and lay-up configuration on the natural frequency of trapezoidal and skew plates is investigated through several examples. It is also shown that the method can be used for analysis of triangular plates as special case of trapezoidal geometry with the same performance and convergence.
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## 1. Introduction

Composite laminated plates with high stiffness and strength to weight ratios are increasingly used in many engineering fields such as civil, marine and aerospace structures. Due to huge application of laminated plates in different fields for more efficient design, it is necessary to study their dynamic behavior. Most studies for dynamic analysis of laminated plates are mainly concerned with rectangular or annular/circular laminated plates (Rao and Meyer Piening, 1990; Rajalingham et al., 1996; Khdeir, 1988; Xiang, 2002; Liew and Haung, 2003; Zhou et al., 2003; Kang et al., 2005; Yalcin et al., 2009; Ferreira et al., 2011). On the other hand, however, plates of nonrectangular shape such as trapezoidal and skew are common industrial elements in many engineering fields like air craft wings, ship substructures, bridge entrance and vehicle bodies. A few studies can be found in the literature for free vibration analysis of nonrectangular plates which are mainly limited to isotropic and thin laminated plates. For instance, Ng and Das (1986) used Galerkin method to study free vibration and buckling of thin clamped skew sandwich plates. Ritz method is also used to study the free vibration of thin isotropic and

[^0]anisotropic skew and trapezoidal plate (Liew and Lam, 1991), thick isotropic skew plate (Liew et al., 1993), symmetrically laminated cantilevered thin trapezoidal plate (Liew, 1992), moderately thick isotropic trapezoidal plate (Kitipornchni et al., 1994), thin symmetrically laminated cantilevered right angular and trapezoidal plate (Qatu, 1994), thick isotropic quadrilateral plates (Dozio and Carrera, 2011) and three dimensional free vibration of cantilevered thick isotropic plates (Zhou et al., 2008). Finite element method is also used to investigate the free vibration of thin isotropic skew plate (Bardell, 1992) and cantilevered isotropic moderately thick trapezoidal plate (McGee and Butalia, 1992) and moderately thick isotropic skew plate (Woo et al., 2003).

Liew et al. (1995) presented an exact expression for the three dimensional free vibration of simply supported isotropic skew plate. Fallah et al. (2011) presented a semi-analytical solution for free vibration analysis of symmetrically laminated thin skew plates with clamped edges using extended Kantorovich method. Malekzadeh and Karami (2005) used the polynomial and harmonic differential quadrature ( DQ ) method to study free vibration analysis of isotropic moderately thick skew plates. Ferreira et al. (2005) used FSDT and radial basic functions to investigate the free vibration of symmetrically laminated composite rectangular and skew plates.

A few studies can be found in the literature for free vibration analysis of thick laminated trapezoidal plates. For instance, Chen et al. (1999) studied free vibration of cantilevered symmetrically laminated thick trapezoidal plates using $p$-Ritz method incorporating third-order shear deformation theory. Haldar and Manna
(2003) presented a high precision shear deformable element for free vibration analysis of thick composite trapezoidal plates. Gürses et al. (2009) studied free vibration analysis of fully clamped and simply supported laminated trapezoidal plates using discrete singular convolution (DSC) method. However, studies related to the free vibration of thick laminated trapezoidal plates are limited to some special boundary conditions such as cantilever, fully clamped and simply supported.

In this study, free vibration analysis of moderately thick symmetrically laminated trapezoidal and skew plates is investigated. Various combinations of simply supported, clamped and free boundary conditions are considered. Equations of motion are obtained based on the first order shear deformation theory (FSDT) in Cartesian coordinates. Then governing equations are transformed into trapezoidal coordinates using mapping techniques and Jacobean matrices. The GDQ method is used to discretize the domain and boundary condition equations. Comparing between the GDQ results and DSC, Ritz and FEM ones shows the accuracy and high rate of convergence of method. Effect of various parameters such as geometry, plates thickness, boundary conditions and lay-up on the dynamic behavior of the skew and trapezoidal plates are investigated through several examples. As an especial case, triangle plate is considered by limiting one edge of trapezoidal length to zero. Results for triangular plates reveal that the method provides reasonably accurate predictions in comparison with finite element code.

## 2. Governing equations

A trapezoidal plate with thickness $h$ in $z$ direction, two lengths $L_{x}$ and $L_{y}$ and two angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in the $x-y$ plane is considered as shown in Fig. 1. The physical domain can be mapped into computational rectangular domain using following transformation:
$x=\zeta+\eta \cos (\alpha)-\frac{\eta \zeta \sin (\beta-\alpha)}{L_{x} \sin (\beta)}$
$y=\eta \sin (\alpha)$
Based on the FSDT, the governing equations of motion for free vibration analysis of symmetrically laminated plate in Cartesian coordinate system are (Reddy, 1997):

$$
\begin{align*}
& k^{2} A_{55}\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+k^{2} A_{44}\left(\frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}\right)+k^{2} A_{45} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial y} \\
& \quad+k^{2} A_{45} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial x}+2 k^{2} A_{45} \frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x \partial y}=I_{0} \ddot{w} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{11}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial x^{2}}\right)+D_{12}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)+D_{66}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial x \partial y}\right) \\
& \quad-k^{2} A_{55}\left(\phi_{x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)-k^{2} A_{45}\left(\phi_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)+2 D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
& \quad+D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial y^{2}}=I_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{x} \\
& D_{66}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)+D_{12}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial x \partial y}\right)+D_{22}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial y^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad-k^{2} A_{44}\left(\phi_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)+D_{16} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial x^{2}}+D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial y^{2}}+2 D_{26} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
& \quad-k^{2} A_{45}\left(\phi_{x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)=I_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{y}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{x}$ and $\phi_{y}$ are the rotations of the middle surface of the plate about the $x$ and $y$ axes, respectively and $w$ is transverse deflection of the middle surface. Furthermore, $k^{2}$ is the shear correction factor which is assumed to be $5 / 6$ while other constants are defined as:
$\left(A_{i j}, B_{i j}, D_{i j}\right)=\int_{-h / 2}^{h / 2}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) \bar{Q}_{i j}^{(k)} d z=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \bar{Q}_{i j}^{(k)}\left(1, z, z^{2}\right) d z$
in which $\bar{Q}_{i j}^{(k)}$ are the plane stress-reduced stiffnesses and the detailed definition of them can be found in Reddy (1997).

Various boundary conditions for an arbitrary edge whose normal and tangential directions are denoted by $n$ and $s$ are as (Malekzadeh and Karami, 2005):

Free (F):
$M_{n}=0, \quad Q_{n}=0, \quad M_{n s}=0$
Simply supported (S):
$w=0, \quad \phi_{s}=0, \quad M_{n}=0$
Clamped (C):
$w=0, \quad \phi_{s}=0, \quad \phi_{n}=0$
where $M_{n}$ and $M_{n s}$ are resultant bending and twisting moments, respectively and $Q_{n}$ is resultant shear force acting on the boundary in the $z$ direction. Furthermore, $\phi_{n}$ and $\phi_{s}$ are rotations of the normal to the mid-plane in the plane $n z$ (normal plane) and $s z$ (tangent plane), respectively. These parameters can be defined in Cartesian coordinate as:


Fig. 1. Physical and computational mapped domain.
$\phi_{s}=-n_{y} \phi_{x}+n_{x} \phi_{y}$
$\phi_{n}=n_{y} \phi_{y}+n_{x} \phi_{x}$
$M_{n}=M_{x x} n_{x}^{2}+M_{y y} n_{y}^{2}+2 M_{x y} n_{x} n_{y}$
$M_{n s}=n_{x} n_{y}\left(M_{y}-M_{x}\right)+M_{x y}\left(n_{x}^{2}-n_{y}^{2}\right)$
$Q_{n}=n_{x} Q_{x}+n_{y} Q_{y}$
where $n_{x}$ and $n_{y}$ are the $x$ and $y$ components of the vector normal to the edge, respectively and $M$ and $Q$ are resultant moments and shear forces in the Cartesian coordinates which are defined as:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}M_{x x} \\ M_{y y} \\ M_{x y}\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}D_{11} & D_{12} & D_{16} \\ D_{12} & D_{22} & D_{26} \\ D_{16} & D_{26} & D_{66}\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial y}\end{array}\right\}$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q_{x} \\
Q_{y}
\end{array}\right\}=k^{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A_{55} & A_{45} \\
A_{45} & A_{44}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\phi_{x}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \\
\phi_{y}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Derivations in new $\zeta-\eta$ coordinate can be related to the derivations in Cartesian coordinate as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right\}=[j]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \eta}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial x^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial y^{2}} \\
\frac{2 \partial^{2} V}{\partial x \partial y}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[j^{(2)}\right]^{-1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \zeta^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \eta^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}
\end{array}\right\}-\left[j^{(2)}\right]^{-1}\left[j^{(1)}\right][j]^{-1}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \zeta} \\
\frac{\partial V}{\partial \eta}
\end{array}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{11}\left(k_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right)+\frac{\left(D_{12}+D_{66}\right)}{2}\left(k_{31} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{32} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}-a_{31} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta}\right)+D_{66}\left(k_{21} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{23} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \eta \partial \zeta}-a_{21} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right) \\
& \quad-k^{2} A_{55}\left(\phi_{x}+b_{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}\right)+D_{16}\left(k_{31} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{32} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \eta \partial \zeta}-a_{31} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)+D_{16}\left(k_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad+D_{26}\left(k_{21} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{23} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}-a_{21} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta}\right)-k^{2} A_{45}\left(b_{3} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}+b_{4} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta}+\phi_{y}\right)=I_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{x} \tag{9.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{66}\left(k_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}\right)+\frac{\left(D_{12}+D_{66}\right)}{2}\left(k_{31} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{32} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}-a_{31} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right)+D_{22}\left(k_{21} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{23} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}-a_{21} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta}\right) \\
& \quad-k^{2} A_{44}\left(\phi_{y}+b_{3} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}+b_{4} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \eta}\right) D_{16} k_{11} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+D_{26}\left(k_{21} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{22} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{23} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}-a_{21} \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \zeta}\right) \\
& \quad+D_{26}\left(k_{31} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta^{2}}+k_{32} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \eta^{2}}+k_{33} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta \partial \eta}-a_{31} \frac{\partial \phi_{y}}{\partial \zeta}\right)-k^{2} A_{45}\left(b_{1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \zeta}+\phi_{x}\right)=I_{2} \ddot{\phi}_{y} \tag{9.3}
\end{align*}
$$

in which:
$b_{1}=[j]^{-1}(1,1) \quad b_{3}=[j]^{-1}(2,1) \quad b_{4}=[j]^{-1}(2,2)$
$k_{m n}=\left[j^{(2)}\right]^{-1}(m, n) \quad a_{m n}=\left[j^{(2)}\right]^{-1}\left[j^{(1)}\right][j]^{-1}(m, n)$
It should be noted that boundary conditions also can be transformed into the computational domain using Eqs. (4) and (7).

## 3. Solution procedure

In this section, solution procedure for the governing equations is presented. Differential quadrature (DQ) method, introduced in 1970's, is based on domain discretization for derivation computation. Because of difficulty in weighting coefficient computation, DQ method was ignored by the literature. Generalized DQ method (GDQM) was introduced in order to overcome the problems of DQ method (Shu, 2000). Computation of weighting coefficients is easier in GDQ method. This method is known to be highly accurate even with low computational effort and has been used as an efficient numerical method in structural mechanics analysis (Tornabene and Viola, 2008; Sadeghian and Rezazadeh, 2009). GDQ method uses weighted linear combination of function values in the whole domain to approximate the function derivations with respect to the space variables. For instance, the $n$ th-order derivative of variable $V$ with respect to the $\zeta$ at point $\zeta_{i}$ is approximated as:
$V^{(n)}\left(\zeta_{i}\right)=\left.\left(\frac{d^{n} V}{d \zeta^{n}}\right)\right|_{\zeta=\zeta_{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{\zeta}} C_{\zeta}^{(n)}(i, j) V_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_{\zeta}$
where $N_{\zeta}$ is the total number of grid points in the $\zeta$ direction and $C_{\zeta}^{(n)}(i, j)$ are weighting coefficients for the $n$ th-order derivative. In the GDQ method, the global Lagrange interpolation polynomial is used for determination of the weighting coefficients as:


Fig. 2. Schematic figure of skew plate.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{\zeta}^{(1)}(i, j)=g_{j}^{(1)}\left(\zeta_{i}\right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N_{\zeta}, i \neq j \\
& C_{\zeta}^{(1)}(i, i)=-\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N_{\zeta}} C_{\zeta}^{(1)}(i, j), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_{\zeta} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

The higher order derivative weighting coefficients can be obtained using following recursive formula:
$C_{\zeta}^{(n)}(i, j)=n\left(C_{\zeta}^{(1)}(i, j) C_{\zeta}^{(n-1)}(i, i)-\frac{C_{\zeta}^{(n-1)}(i, j)}{\zeta_{i}-\zeta_{j}}\right), 1 \leq i, j \leq N_{\zeta}, i \neq j$
$C_{\zeta}^{(n)}(i, i)=-\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N_{\zeta}} C_{\zeta}^{(n)}(i, j), 1 \leq i \leq N_{\zeta}$

Using Eq. (11), one may rewrite the governing equations in algebraic discretized form. For example, the discretized form of the first governing equation, Eq. (9.1), can be read as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k^{2} A_{55}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\zeta}}\left(b_{1} C_{\zeta}^{(1)} \phi_{x_{i, k}}+k_{11} C_{\zeta}^{(2)} w_{i, k}\right)\right) \\
& \quad+k^{2} A_{44}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\zeta}}\left(b_{3} C_{\zeta}^{(1)} \phi_{y_{i, k}}+k_{21} C_{\zeta}^{(2)} w_{i, k}-a_{21} C_{\zeta}^{(1)} w_{i, k}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{N_{\eta}}\left(b_{4} C_{\eta}^{(1)} \phi_{y_{l, j}}+k_{22} C_{\eta}^{(2)} w_{l, j}\right)+\sum_{m=1}^{N_{\zeta}} \sum_{n=1}^{N_{n}} k_{23} C_{\zeta}^{(1)} C_{\eta}^{(1)} w_{m, n}\right] \\
& \quad+k^{2} A_{45}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\zeta}}\left(b_{3} C_{\zeta}^{(1)} \phi_{x_{i, k}}+b_{1} C_{\zeta}^{(1)} \phi_{y_{i, k}}\right)+k_{31} C_{\zeta}^{(2)} w_{i, k}-a_{31} C_{\zeta}^{(1)} w_{i, k}\right] \\
& \quad+k^{2} A_{45}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{\eta}}\left(b_{4} C_{\eta}^{(1)} \phi_{x_{l, j}}+k_{32} C_{\eta}^{(2)} w_{l, j}\right)\right]=I_{o} \ddot{w} ; l=2, \ldots, N_{\zeta}-1, k=2, \ldots, N_{\eta}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

$g_{j}(\zeta)=\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{N_{\zeta}} \frac{\zeta_{j}-\zeta_{k}}{\zeta_{j}-\zeta_{k}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N_{\zeta}$
Eq. (12) can also be written as:
$g_{j}(\zeta)=\frac{M(\zeta)}{\left(\zeta-\zeta_{j}\right) M^{(1)}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)}$
$M(\zeta)=\prod_{k=1}^{N_{\zeta}}\left(\zeta-\zeta_{k}\right)$
$M^{(1)}\left(\zeta_{j}\right)=\prod_{k=1, k \neq j}^{N_{\xi}}\left(\zeta_{j}-\zeta_{k}\right)$
From Eq. (13), it can be concluded that $M^{(1)}(\zeta)$ is the first derivation of $M(\zeta)$. Now, derivation from Eq. (12) leads to analytic expression for $C_{\zeta}^{(n)}(i, j)$ as:

Table 1
 $\varphi=45^{\circ}$ ). Increase in thickness results in decrease in frequencies.

| B.C. | $h / L_{y}$ |  | Modes sequence |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th | 5th | 6th |  |
| CFCF | 0.001 | Present | 3.6852 | 3.8432 | 6.2509 | 8.7740 | 10.3352 | 11.5127 |  |
|  |  | Liew et al. | 3.6933 | 3.8576 | 6.2601 | 8.7946 | 10.4060 | 11.5420 |  |
|  |  | Woo et al. | 3.7149 | 3.8948 | 6.2744 | 8.8512 | 10.6030 | - |  |
|  | 0.2 | Present | 2.5712 | 2.6307 | 4.1425 | 5.2744 | 5.8359 | 6.2549 |  |
|  |  | Liew et al. | 2.5674 | 2.6266 | 4.1439 | 5.2627 | 5.8254 | 6.2356 |  |
|  |  | Woo et al. | 2.5659 | 2.6085 | 4.1383 | 5.2599 | 5.8135 | - |  |
| CFFF | 0.1 | Present | 0.4418 | 1.0671 | 2.5104 | 2.8640 | 4.5580 | 5.2232 |  |
|  |  | Liew et al. | 0.4445 | 1.0678 | 2.5095 | 2.8633 | 4.5547 | 5.2238 |  |
|  | 0.2 | Present | 0.4212 | 0.9649 | 2.1079 | 2.3903 | 3.6863 | 4.1032 |  |
|  |  | Liew et al. | 0.4218 | 0.9641 | 2.1033 | 2.3866 | 3.6789 | 4.0953 |  |

Table 2
Fundamental frequency of [0 90900 ] laminated symmetric trapezoidal plate

| $\left(\bar{\omega}=\frac{\omega a^{2}}{h} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{E_{2}}}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B.C. | $h / a$ | $b / a$ | Present | Haldar and Manna | Gürses et al. |
| SSSS | 0.1 | 0.8 | 17.65 | 17.39 | 18.41 |
|  |  | 0.6 | 20.64 | 20.35 | 20.48 |
|  |  | 0.4 | 24.15 | 23.91 | 24.06 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.8 | 27.81 | 27.50 | 27.54 |
|  |  | 0.6 | 12.31 | 11.97 | 11.99 |
|  |  | 0.4 | 15.88 | 13.49 | 15.44 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.2 | 18.06 | 17.54 | 15.46 |
| CCCC | 0.1 | 0.8 | 25.49 | 24.73 | 17.63 |
|  |  | 0.6 | 28.41 | 27.53 | 25.12 |
|  |  | 0.4 | 31.95 | 30.95 | 27.62 |
|  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 35.90 | 34.74 | 31.08 |
|  |  | 0.6 | 15.00 | 14.46 | 34.76 |
|  |  | 0.4 | 18.39 | 15.14 | 17.45 |

In the GDQ method, convergence and accuracy of results depend on the distribution of internal nodes. In the most common manner, these nodes are chosen uniformly but investigations show that non-uniform meshes with denser distribution near the boundary lead to more accurate answer (Ng et al., 2009). In this study nodes are chosen according to Chebyshev-Gauss-Lobatto distribution as:
$\zeta_{i}=\frac{L_{\zeta}}{2}\left(1-\cos \left(\frac{i-1}{N_{\zeta}-1} \pi\right)\right)$
where $L \zeta$ is the length in the $\zeta$ direction.
Applying GDQ method on Eq. (9) with the special combination of boundary conditions, one can write the resultant set of algebraic linear equations as:
$[K]\{q\}=[M]\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} q}{\partial t^{2}}\right\}$
in which $\{q\}$ is vector of system degree of freedoms including values of $w, \phi_{x}$ and $\phi_{y}$ at all nodes. In order to obtain the natural frequencies, the nodes on boundaries and internal domain of the plate are separated. Field equations of motion and boundary condition equations can now be rewritten as:

Equations of motion:

Table 3
Convergence study for thin isotropic SSSS skew plate $\left(\bar{\omega}=\frac{\omega L_{y}^{2} \sqrt{\rho h / D_{11}}}{\pi^{2}}\right)$

| $\varphi$ | Method | Modes sequence |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1st | 2nd | 3rd | 4th |  |  |  |  |  | 5th | 6th |
| $15^{\circ}$ | Present $8 \times 8$ | 2.1143 | 4.8931 | 5.6842 | 7.9909 | 10.5903 | 11.1146 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 2.1141 | 4.8841 | 5.6838 | 8.0078 | 10.5337 | 11.0276 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $16 \times 16$ | 2.1143 | 4.8841 | 5.6843 | 8.0084 | 10.5372 | 11.0314 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $20 \times 20$ | 2.1143 | 4.8841 | 5.6845 | 8.0085 | 10.5372 | 11.0317 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $24 \times 24$ | 2.1144 | 4.8841 | 5.6846 | 8.0085 | 10.5372 | 11.0319 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | McGee et al., 1996 | 2.1144 | 4.8842 | 5.6848 | 8.0087 | 10.5370 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bardell, | 2.1145 | 4.8842 | 5.6850 | 8.0088 | 10.5370 | 11.0330 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $45^{\circ}$ | $8 \times 8$ | 3.5168 | 6.7045 | 10.0863 | 10.9841 | 15.4836 | 18.0795 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $12 \times 12$ | 3.5119 | 6.7152 | 10.1518 | 10.8569 | 14.2557 | 17.0473 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $16 \times 16$ | 3.5149 | 6.7152 | 10.1554 | 10.8437 | 14.2658 | 17.0508 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $20 \times 20$ | 3.5166 | 6.7152 | 10.1558 | 10.8411 | 14.2659 | 17.0509 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $24 \times 24$ | 3.5175 | 6.7152 | 10.1561 | 10.8408 | 14.2659 | 17.0508 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | McGee et al., 1996 | 3.5208 | 6.7153 | 10.1570 | 10.8450 | 14.2660 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bardell | 3.5498 | 6.7154 | 10.1660 | 10.9080 | 14.2660 | 17.0510 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\left[\left[K_{d d}\right]\left[K_{d b}\right]\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}q_{d} \\ q_{b}\end{array}\right\}=\left[M_{d d}\right]\left\{\frac{\partial^{2} q_{d}}{\partial t^{2}}\right\}$
Boundary condition equations:
$\left[\left[K_{b d}\right]\left[K_{b b}\right]\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}q_{d} \\ q_{b}\end{array}\right\}=0$
Here, subscripts $d$ and $b$ indicate domain and boundary nodes, respectively. For example, $\left[K_{d b}\right]$ indicates the effects of boundary nodes on the vibration of domain nodes. Combination of Eq (18) leads to:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
K_{d d} & K_{d b}  \tag{19}\\
K_{b d} & K_{b b}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{d} \\
q_{b}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
M_{d d} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial^{2} q_{d}}{\partial t^{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} q_{b}}{\partial t^{2}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Due to harmonic nature of the vibration, it is reasonable to assume:
$q=Q(\zeta, n) e^{i \omega t}$
where $\omega$ is natural frequency of the plate. Substituting Eq. (20) into Eq. (19) together with elimination of boundary nodes, one may rewrite the resultant equation as:



Table 4
The first three dimensionless frequencies for symmetric trapezoidal
$\left(h / a=0.1, \bar{\omega}=\frac{\omega a^{2} \sqrt{\rho / E_{2}}}{h}\right)$

| B.C. | $b / a$ | Lay-up | 1st mode | 2nd mode | 3rd mode |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CFCF | 0.2 | $[45606045]$ | 17.20 | 29.49 | 36.70 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 19.54 | 31.63 | 39.61 |
|  | 0.4 | $[45606045]$ | 15.74 | 26.09 | 32.19 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 18.37 | 28.24 | 35.86 |
|  | 0.6 | $[45606045]$ | 14.34 | 20.80 | 26.06 |
|  | 0.8 | $[30606030]$ | 17.25 | 24.41 | 28.13 |
|  |  | $[35606045]$ | 12.90 | 15.76 | 23.25 |
| CCFF | 0.2 | $[45606045]$ | 16.18 | 19.26 | 23.82 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 12.49 | 22.45 | 32.15 |
|  | 0.4 | $[45606045]$ | 9.84 | 23.67 | 33.77 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 11.05 | 18.69 | 27.50 |
|  | 0.6 | $[45606045]$ | 7.97 | 15.50 | 28.08 |
|  | 0.8 | $[30606030]$ | 8.94 | 15.67 | 25.14 |
|  |  | $[35606045]$ | 6.74 | 13.75 | 21.83 |
| CSFF | 0.2 | $[45606040]$ | 7.35 | 13.99 | 23.74 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 7.15 | 17.10 | 27.51 |
|  | 0.4 | $[45606045]$ | 5.52 | 19.90 | 30.37 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 8.16 | 13.71 | 22.78 |
|  | 0.6 | $[45606045]$ | 4.94 | 15.83 | 24.70 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 6.89 | 12.59 | 20.59 |
|  | 0.8 | $[45606045]$ | 4.17 | 10.30 | 22.16 |
|  |  | $[30606030]$ | 5.81 | 11.31 | 18.90 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 20.72 |

$\left(\left[K_{T}\right]+\omega^{2}\left[M_{d d}\right]\right)\left\{Q_{d}\right\}=0$
in which $\left[K_{T}\right]=\left[K_{d d}\right]-\left[K_{d b}\right]\left[K_{b b}\right]^{-1}\left[K_{b d}\right]$. Solution of this eigenvalue problem results in natural frequencies, $\omega$ and mode shape vectors.

## 4. Results and discussion

In this section, results of the free vibration analyses of symmetrically laminated trapezoidal plates with different boundary conditions and geometrical parameters are presented through some numerical examples. The boundary conditions of the plate are specified by the letter symbols, for example, SCFS means a plate with edges $\zeta=0$ simply supported (S), $\eta=0$ clamped (C), $\zeta=L_{x}$ free (F) and $\eta=L_{y}$ simply supported (S). In order to validate

Table 5
First six dimensionless frequencies for laminated composite [09090 0] with $\alpha=45^{\circ}$ $\beta=120^{\circ}$ and $L_{x}=L_{y}=1 \quad\left(\bar{\omega}=\frac{\omega L_{y}^{2} \sqrt{\rho h / D_{11}}}{\pi^{2}}\right)$

| $h / L_{x}$ | B.C. | 1st mode | 2nd mode | 3rd mode | 4th mode | 5th mode | 6th mode |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.01 | CCCC | 7.5613 | 13.7563 | 14.3517 | 21.6562 | 22.8620 | 23.2259 |
|  | SSSS | 4.0284 | 9.1422 | 9.3679 | 15.8675 | 16.7520 | 17.0625 |
|  | CFCF | 3.2905 | 7.4257 | 7.8596 | 13.5195 | 14.3333 | 14.4388 |
|  | SFSF | 1.6309 | 5.1685 | 5.2248 | 10.4941 | 10.9469 | 11.1020 |
|  | CSFF | 1.7708 | 5.2700 | 6.2908 | 10.5622 | 12.2843 | 12.4914 |
| 0.05 | CCCC | 5.0205 | 8.0212 | 8.4070 | 11.1256 | 11.9268 | 12.2236 |
|  | SSSS | 3.4430 | 6.7272 | 7.0769 | 10.0240 | 10.9423 | 11.1950 |
|  | CFCF | 2.4724 | 4.9673 | 5.1502 | 7.7716 | 8.3360 | 8.8741 |
|  | SFSF | 1.5192 | 4.1789 | 4.3261 | 7.1774 | 7.8347 | 8.1975 |
|  | CSFF | 1.8318 | 4.3037 | 4.8898 | 7.6468 | 8.1599 | 8.7973 |
| 0.1 | CCCC | 3.1504 | 4.7519 | 5.0527 | 6.3422 | 6.8443 | 7.1554 |
|  | SSSS | 2.5831 | 4.3701 | 4.7636 | 6.0299 | 6.6654 | 6.9823 |
|  | CFCF | 1.6811 | 3.1006 | 3.3667 | 4.5977 | 5.0930 | 5.5913 |
|  | SFSF | 1.2821 | 2.8908 | 3.1781 | 4.4410 | 5.0321 | 5.4618 |
|  | CSFF | 1.4895 | 3.0124 | 3.4376 | 4.8982 | 5.2045 | 5.7027 |
| 0.15 | CCCC | 2.2451 | 3.3235 | 3.5598 | 4.3761 | 4.7414 | 5.0085 |
|  | SSSS | 1.9853 | 3.1527 | 3.4726 | 4.2366 | 4.8935 | 4.9541 |
|  | CFCF | 1.2551 | 2.2418 | 2.4737 | 3.2396 | 3.6474 | 3.9842 |
|  | SFSF | 1.0685 | 2.2278 | 2.3184 | 3.1230 | 3.8327 | 3.8460 |
|  | CSFF | 1.1915 | 2.2780 | 2.5774 | 3.5089 | 3.7589 | 4.1268 |
| 0.2 | CCCC | 1.7311 | 2.5592 | 3.1842 | 3.6903 | 3.7636 | 3.8477 |
|  | SSSS | 1.5891 | 2.4405 | 2.8736 | 3.4312 | 3.8300 | 5.0472 |
|  | CFCF | 1.0081 | 1.8195 | 2.0555 | 2.1168 | 2.9054 | 3.0591 |
|  | SFSF | 0.7563 | 0.8849 | 1.6013 | 1.6169 | 2.8092 | 3.0895 |
|  | CSFF | 0.9761 | 1.8421 | 2.0443 | 2.7989 | 3.0228 | 3.5741 |

the present approach, results are compared with some existing ones in the literature.

The first example is an isotropic skew plate as shown in Fig. 2 with the $L_{x}=L_{y}=1$ and $\phi=45^{\circ}$. Dimensionless natural frequency of the skew plate is presented in Table 1. Results of FEM (Woo et al., 2003) and Ritz method (Liew et al., 1993) are also included in the table for comparison. It can be concluded from the table that predictions of the GDQ method are in good agreement with the FEM and specially the semi-analytical results of Ritz method presented by Liew et al. as the maximum relative discrepancy between the GDQ and Ritz results is about $0.6 \%$ and the average discrepancy is $0.19 \%$. Note that a mesh size of $12 \times 12$ is used to obtain solutions for the GDQ method.


Fig. 4. Fundamental natural frequency of [09090 0] laminated symmetric trapezoidal plate $\left(\bar{\omega}=\omega L_{y}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{\rho h}{D_{11}}} L_{x}=L_{y}=1\right.$ and $h / L_{y}=0.1$.)

Table 6
Dimensionless frequencies for right trapezoidal with $L_{x}=1, L_{y}=0.5$ and $h=0.1 L_{y}$. $\underline{\left(\bar{\omega}=\frac{\omega L_{y}^{2} \sqrt{\rho / E_{2}}}{h}\right)}$

| $\theta$ | B.C. | Lay-up | 1st mode | 2nd mode | 3rd mode |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $45^{\circ}$ | CCCC | [090 90 0] | 17.8681 | 28.1739 | 33.1729 |
|  |  | [306060 30] | 16.8660 | 23.6182 | 30.1991 |
|  | SSSS | [09090 0] | 10.8137 | 22.7508 | 26.2021 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 11.7158 | 19.1542 | 26.3149 |
|  | CSCS | [09090 0] | 14.2132 | 24.8717 | 28.3264 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 14.8882 | 21.1920 | 27.7644 |
|  | CFCF' | [09090 0] | 9.3864 | 15.5454 | 19.2360 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 8.5539 | 13.7015 | 15.0513 |
|  | SFSF | [09090 0] | 5.4563 | 11.2542 | 16.0392 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 4.1514 | 8.6176 | 9.8155 |
|  | CFSF | [090 90 0] | 7.2436 | 13.5562 | 17.5084 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 4.4120 | 10.1717 | 13.3471 |
|  | CFFF | [09090 0] | 1.9660 | 3.9440 | 8.7862 |
|  |  | [306060 30] | 0.5721 | 3.3197 | 5.2285 |
| $60^{\circ}$ | CCCC | [09090 0] | 16.0475 | 24.4102 | 31.4960 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 14.7229 | 20.6923 | 27.0137 |
|  | SSSS | [09090 0] | 9.0424 | 19.1826 | 24.4781 |
|  |  | [306060 30] | 9.8423 | 16.6872 | 23.4642 |
|  | CSCS | [090 90 0] | 12.6384 | 22.1702 | 28.1801 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 11.8857 | 18.6075 | 25.0228 |
|  | CFCF | [09090 0] | 4.2014 | 12.0503 | 14.6134 |
|  |  | [306060 30] | 6.9243 | 8.5822 | 12.8723 |
|  | SFSF | [09090 0] | 0.8297 | 8.7732 | 11.2317 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 3.4437 | 4.8424 | 8.5863 |
|  | CFSF | [09090 0] | 2.4750 | 9.9134 | 12.2810 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 3.7408 | 7.5853 | 9.9605 |
|  | CFFF | [09090 0] | 1.7930 | 2.7926 | 8.6196 |
|  |  | [306060 30] | 0.6005 | 2.8582 | 4.7893 |
| $75^{\circ}$ | CCCC | [09090 0] | 15.2722 | 22.3134 | 31.0093 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 13.8648 | 18.7958 | 24.9205 |
|  | SSSS | [09090 0] | 8.3187 | 16.8817 | 24.0410 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 9.0712 | 14.9445 | 21.6066 |
|  | CSCS | [09090 0] | 11.7244 | 19.6856 | 27.6531 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 10.4675 | 16.8271 | 23.1179 |
|  | CFCF | [09090 0] | 3.4755 | 9.9512 | 13.9449 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 5.6098 | 6.6965 | 11.9121 |
|  | SFSF | [09090 0] | 0.7479 | 7.5459 | 10.5060 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 2.8917 | 3.5692 | 8.0107 |
|  | CFSF | [09090 0] | 1.9820 | 9.1835 | 10.8338 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 3.2713 | 5.7610 | 9.3811 |
|  | CFFF | [09090 0] | 1.6273 | 2.3026 | 8.3163 |
|  |  | [30 6060 30] | 0.6356 | 2.5571 | 4.4995 |

The other verification example is a symmetrically laminated isosceles trapezoidal plate, see Fig. 3. Composite material properties used in this study are as:
$E_{1}=40 E_{2}, G=0.6 E_{2}, \vartheta_{12}=0.25, \rho=2500 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$


Fig. 5. Right trapezoidal plate.

Dimensionless fundamental natural frequency of SSSS and CCCC trapezoidal plate for different values of $b / a$ and $h / a$ are presented in Table 2. In this table, results of some previous studies are also included for comparison. Again, a good agreement can be seen between results of the GDQ method and those available from FEM and DSC method as the predicted frequency for a SSSS plate with $h / a=0.1$ and $b / a=0.2$ is 27.81 that shows $1.1 \%$ and $0.9 \%$ error with respect to FEM and DSC method results respectively.

In Table 3, convergence of the GDQ method for the first six dimensionless natural frequencies of isotropic SSSS skew plate shown in Fig. 2. with $L_{x}=L_{y}=1$ and $h / L_{x}=0.001$ is investigated. Results are prepared for two different values of skew angle. The problem is solved with five different mesh sizes. Results of the analytical solution (McGee et al., 1996) and FEM (Bardell, 1992) are also included for comparison. From the results one can conclude that GDQ leads to accurate results even using a few grid points. For instance, with mesh size of $8 \times 8$ and $12 \times 12$ forth dimensionless natural frequency of skew plate with skew angle $\varphi=15^{\circ}$ is obtained as 7.9909 and 8.0078 , respectively which shows $0.22 \%$ and $0.01 \%$ difference with analytical results. Furthermore, results show that as the number of grid points increased GDQ results are rapidly converged to the final values which show fast rate of convergence of the method. Thus, the mesh size of $12 \times 12$ is used in the next numerical examples.

Effect of geometrical parameters and lay-up configuration on the natural frequency of symmetric trapezoidal, see Fig. 3.with free edge is studied in Table 4. In this table, the first three natural frequencies of the trapezoidal plate are prepared for different values of $\frac{b}{a}$ and different lay-up configurations. From these results one can conclude that as the $\frac{b}{a}$ increases and the plate tends to the rectangular shape, dimensionless natural frequencies decrease.

Effect of the boundary conditions on the fundamental natural frequency of trapezoidal plates, shown in Fig. 3, is presented in Fig. 4. In this figure, fundamental frequency of a [09090 0] plate versus $\alpha$ is presented for different sets of boundary conditions. Again, it can be concluded that as the plate tends to the rectangular shape ( $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ ), natural frequency decreases gradually. It might be due to the fact that by approaching to the rectangle shape, stress singularity near the corners of trapezoidal plates reduces.

Table 5 shows the first six dimensionless frequencies of general trapezoidal plate (Fig. 1.) for various boundary conditions and different values of thickness. Results show that as the plate thickness increased, natural frequencies are decreased.

Table 6 shows the first three dimensionless natural frequencies of a moderately thick right trapezoidal (Fig. 5.) with different geometrical parameters, boundary conditions and lay-up configurations. Results show that as the angle $\theta$ increased, dimensionless frequency decreased.

In this study, free vibration of symmetrically laminated trapezoidal plates with various boundary conditions is investigated using GDQ method. As special case of trapezoidal plates one can refer to the triangular plates by limiting upper edge length to the zero, see Fig. 6. In order to study the applicability of the presented solution to the triangle shape, equilateral triangle is built by approaching $\alpha$ to the $60^{\circ}$ and holding $L_{y}=L_{x}=1$.

Dimensionless fundamental natural frequency of symmetrically laminated triangular plates obtained based on the GDQM for different values of $\alpha$ together with ANSYS results are presented in Table 7. In this table results are prepared for different values of plate thickness and lay-up configurations. Results show that as the value of $\alpha$ tends to $60^{\circ}$, difference between the GDQ predictions and ANSYS


Fig. 6. Equilateral triangle as a special case of trapezoidal by limiting upper edge length to the zero and holding $L_{x}=L_{y}=1$.

Table 7
Fundamental dimensionless frequency for fully clamped symmetric triangle

| $h / a$ | Lay-up | Present |  |  | ANSYS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\alpha=61{ }^{\circ}$ | $\alpha=60.5^{\circ}$ | $\alpha=60.05$ |  |
| 0.05 | [0 9090 0] | 66.51 | 67.33 | 68.17 | 70.61 |
|  | [30 60 60 30] | 57.68 | 58.45 | 59.18 | 59.28 |
|  | [45 6060 45] | 55.43 | 56.28 | 57.13 | 56.21 |
|  | [30 9090 30] | 62.94 | 63.82 | 64.54 | 65.16 |
| 0.1 | [090 90 0] | 42.41 | 42.91 | 43.32 | 44.44 |
|  | [30 60 60 30] | 37.49 | 37.94 | 38.39 | 39.07 |
|  | [45 6060 45] | 36.25 | 36.73 | 37.12 | 37.60 |
|  | [309090 0] | 40.67 | 41.19 | 41.61 | 42.07 |
| 0.15 | [0 9090 0] | 28.85 | 29.17 | 31.17 | 31.78 |
|  | [30 60 60 30] | 27.70 | 28.02 | 29.18 | 29.02 |
|  | [45 6060 45] | 27.26 | 27.23 | 27.49 | 28.15 |
|  | [30 9090 30] | 29.64 | 30.00 | 30.20 | 30.62 |

results decreases. It is worth mentioning that since the solution procedure is based on the general trapezoidal plate it is impossible to set $\alpha=60^{\circ}$. Furthermore, an increase in the plate thickness causes decrease in the dimensionless natural frequencies.

## 5. Conclusions

Free vibration analysis of moderately thick symmetrically laminated trapezoidal, skew and triangle plates with various boundary conditions is investigated. The existing governing equations of the problem based on the FSDT in the Cartesian coordinate system are properly transformed into trapezoidal coordinate. The GDQ method is used for discretizing of governing equations. Results of the present study are compared with available results in the literature. Effects of different parameters such as geometrical parameters, lay-up configurations and boundary conditions on the dynamic behavior of the trapezoidal, skew and triangle plates are presented. The results show that by increasing the thickness, the dimensionless frequencies of trapezoidal plate decrease. Furthermore, by tending to a rectangular plate, the dimensionless frequency of the trapezoidal plate decreases gradually.
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