

# A Novel Approach of Image Based Steganography Using Pseudorandom Sequence Generator Function and DCT Coefficients

**Anupam Mondal**

Research Scholar, Department of CSE,  
University Institute of Technology, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan - 713104, West Bengal, India  
anupamndmondal@gmail.com

**Shiladitya Pujari**

Assistant Professor, Department of IT,  
University Institute of Technology, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan - 713104, West Bengal, India  
shiladityapujari@uit.buruniv.ac.in

**Abstract**—This research paper present a novel approach of Image based steganography scheme where a pseudorandom sequence generator function is used to randomize the mapping sequence in a pseudorandom fashion. The embedding process of the secret message is done according to the pseudo-random sequence, and extraction is done using the same sequence at the other end. At the base level, 2D-DCT is used to hide the bits of secret message inside the stego image by replacing the LSBs of DCT coefficients. Since in previous paper the LSB substitution technique is already used, here in this paper the previous concept is extended and used DCT instead of LSB technique. A new text randomization algorithm (4-2 swap text randomization algorithm) is also used to modify the secret message before embedding.

**Index Terms**—Steganography, Security, Pseudorandom, DCT.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Steganography is the art as well as the science of hiding information into another covering media in such a way that nobody except the intended recipient knows about the secret message and retrieve it. Steganography (means “covered writing” in Greek) is an old art that has been used since the golden age of Greece where some practices were recorded like: writing a message on a wooden table then covering it with wax. Other techniques use invisible ink, microdots, converting channels and character arrangement. Steganography is a type of cryptography in which the secret message is hidden in a digital picture. Steganography differs from Cryptography in the sense that where cryptography focuses on keeping the contents of a message secret, whereas steganography focuses on keeping the very existence of the message secret[1-3].

Various image based steganography method namely LSB(least-significant-bit),PVD(pixel-value differencing),

GLM(gray level modification) and the method proposed by Ahmed et al. has been briefly mentioned in the following section.

### A. Data Hiding by Least Significant Bit (LSB)

The most popular and common techniques is based on manipulating the least-significant-bit (LSB) [9], [10] and [11] planes by directly replacing the LSBs of the cover-image with the message bits. LSB methods typically achieve high capacity but unfortunately LSB insertion is vulnerable to slight image manipulation such as cropping and compression.

### B. Data Hiding by Pixel Value Differencing Method

Another method proposed by Wu and Tsai, the pixel-value differencing (PVD) method can successfully provide both high embedding capacity and outstanding imperceptibility for the stego image. Based on PVD method, various approaches have also been proposed. Among them Chang et al. proposes a new method using tri-way pixel-value differencing.

### C. Data Hiding by GLM

In 2004, Potdar et al. [11] proposes GLM (Gray level modification) technique which is used to map data by modifying the gray level of the image pixels. Gray level modification Steganography is a technique to map data (not embed or hide it) by modifying the gray level values of the image pixels.

### D. Data Hiding by the Method Proposed by AHMAD et al.

In this work a novel Steganography method for hiding information within the spatial domain of the gray scale image has been proposed. The proposed approach works by dividing the cover into blocks of equal size and then embeds the message in the edge of the block depending on the number of ones in left four bits of the pixel.

The paper has been arranged in some sections. Section I mentioned a brief introduction and a discussion on the

related works in the relevant field. Section II defined about some steganographic methods. The next section (III) described the proposed procedure. Section IV described the overall procedure via an example. Next section (V) of the paper is about analyzing the experimental result. The last section (VI) concluded on the research paper.

## II. STEGANOGRAPHIC METHODS

There are mainly three ways to hide a digital message in a digital cover [5][7][8][9].

### A. Injection

Data injection embeds the secret message directly in the host medium. The problem with this kind of embedding is that it usually makes the host file larger, and therefore the alteration is easier to detect.

### B. Substitution

Normal data is replaced or substituted with the secret data. This usually results in very little size change for the host file. However, depending on the type of host file and the amount of hidden data, the substitution method can degrade the quality of the original host file.

### C. Generation of New Files

A cover is generated for the sole purpose of concealing a secret message. A sender creates a picture of something innocent that can be passed to receiver; the innocent picture is the cover that provides the mechanism for conveying the message.

Digital images can be dealt in two different domains. One is the spatial domain, where the image is considered as the two dimensional array of pixels oriented in a table format. On the other hand, in the frequency domain, images are considered as the digital signal transmitted through the physical media. In this research paper 2D Discrete Cosine Transform (2D-DCT) method [6] is used instead of Least Significant Bit (LSB) substitution technique as the fundamental hiding technique [4].

## III. THE PROPOSED SCHEME OF STEGANOGRAPHY

In this paper a new image based steganography scheme is presented in which both the secret message as well as the cover image is divided into a number of small but equal sized segments [4]. After that segmentation, separate segments of the secret message are embedded by using LSB method at the DCT coefficients of those image segments, but a particular segment of the cover image for a particular segment of text is selected in a pseudo-random fashion. This method makes the embedding or insertion process difficult, but imposes more security [4]. Analysis may help to detect that steganography has been implied on the cover image, but attackers cannot be able to extract the secret message from the cover image without knowing the parameters used in this process.

### A. Embedding Method

This new steganography method is block mapping method. The entire Steganography process has two parts. One part is to insert or hide the secret text message inside a cover image, preferable colored image. This process is done at the sending end. Another part is used at the receiving end to extract the original secret text message from the stego-image.

#### STEP 1

The secret message is taken as the primary input. This is the secret message to be hidden inside the cover image. Total number of characters (**Ch**) of the secret message is counted.

#### STEP 2

A unique integer number named **Threshold (T)** is calculated through a unique message digest mechanism. It takes a one-time **Password**, a fixed **Key** (For advanced security purpose) and the secret message as input. Depending on the total number of characters and the strength of password, **Threshold** is calculated.

#### STEP 3

This Steganography algorithm segments the entire text into some equal sized blocks called **Cells** containing equal number of characters. The size of each **cell (denoted as C)** depends on the number of characters in the secret message and the value of **Threshold**. The size is calculated by adding the digits of the total number of characters (**Ch**) in the text and **Threshold (T)** i.e. the number of character per cell or size of cell denoted by

$$C = \text{Sum of digits of } Ch + T \quad (1)$$

#### STEP 4

To calculate the total number of Cell(s) (denoted by  $C_T$ ), divide the total no of characters (Ch) by the size of each cell (denoted as C). The size of each cell(C) may not always be a factor of the total number of characters (Ch). So take the ceiling value of the result and add some redundant bit(s) after the last character of the last cell to equalize it with others. Spaces, dots or any other symbol can be used to fill the number of required characters in each cell. Total numbers of cells are calculated by

$$C_T = \lceil Ch/C \rceil \quad (2)$$

#### STEP 5

By considering each character is consisting of 7 bits (ASCII values), total number of bits (b) in a cell is calculated by multiplying the size of cell (C) with 7. Each bit requires a LSB of 2D-DCT coefficient of each logical block of the cover image for embedding purpose. To hide a cell containing text required  $C \times 7$  bits.

#### STEP 6

This algorithm is based on hiding of text into equal sized square blocks of cover image. That means, the cover image must be divided or segmented into a

number of equal sized logical square blocks. Each logical block will hide a particular cell of text. As because the dimension of each logical block of image is square, find out the square root of  $b$  and get the whole number nearest and larger than square root of  $b$ . This number gives the dimension of each logical block of image that hides a unit of text, i.e. right ceiling of root of  $b$ :

$$D = \lceil \sqrt{b} \rceil \quad (3)$$

#### STEP 7

The dimension is then calculated as  $D \times D$ . This is the size of each square shaped logical block of the cover image and 2D-DCT is applied on each of those blocks. To make the hiding process successful,  $D \times D$  must be greater than or equal to the number of bits in a unit ( $b$ ), i.e.

$$D \times D \geq b \text{ or } D^2 \geq b \quad (4)$$

#### STEP 8

A general restriction is set on the dimension of the cover image such as cover image must be an  $800 \times 600$  image. That means width of the cover picture  $W$  is 800 pixels and height  $H$  is 600 pixels.  $D$  is the dimension of each logical square block. After dividing height and width of the cover image by  $D$ , and ignoring the fractional part of the results, calculate  $M = H/D$  and  $N = W/D$ .  $M$  and  $N$  are the number of logical divisions along with height and width of the picture and length of each division is  $D$ . The total number of logical blocks of image denoted by  $B = M \times N$ , where  $B$  is total number of Cells.  $B$  must be greater than  $C_T$ , i.e.  $B > C_T$

$$M = H/D \text{ and } N = W/D \text{ and } B = M \times N \quad (5)$$

#### STEP 9

In this step, the entire cover image is segmented into  $B$  number of logical square blocks. On each of those logical  $D \times D$  square blocks, 2D-DCT is applied and coefficients are calculated.

#### STEP 10

After segmentation of the cover picture into  $B$  number of logical blocks, assign some specific block(s) to hide the secret message of a particular cell into it. This assignment of block number is done in a pseudo-random fashion using a digestive mapping function. Mapping is done in pseudo-random fashion so that during extraction, the same pseudo-random sequence can be generated, but it will be difficult to predict any pattern of mapping. The mapping function depends on size of Cell( $c$ ), *Threshold* ( $T$ ) and total number of Blocks ( $B$ ).

$$B_i = F_{\text{mapping}}(C, \text{Threshold}, B) \quad (6)$$

To generate a pseudo-random sequence that will specify the particular regions for each unit of text, location identification numbers or region identification number, also called as block numbers must be unique, whatever the number of blocks should be. Another

restriction must be maintained here which is the upper bound of the generated number. The values must not exceed the total number of blocks ( $B$ ). This implies that the function must be a modulus function of  $B$  or  $(B+1)$ . To generate the pseudo-random sequence having sufficient spaces between the numbers so that probability of getting overlapped or to avoid collisions between those numbers, a minimum spacing is introduced by including power of  $C$  and *Threshold* value. Experimental result shows that the mapping function works best if the expression will be as follows:

$$B_i = [(T^i \times C^i) \bmod (B-i)] + i \quad (7)$$

Where  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, C_T$ .  $B_i$  is a pseudo-random number generated for a specific value of  $C_T$ .  $B_i$  is the block number of unit number  $C_T$ .

#### STEP 11

Each unit of text is converted to bit stream and then the bit stream is randomized using a new randomization technique called "4-2 swap text randomization method". Using this randomization technique, the randomized sequence can be converted again into the original sequence. The function also takes *Threshold and Passkey* as input for doing randomization. This makes the process one time and strong.

#### STEP 12

After doing this randomization of the bit-stream, embedding of the secret message is done by replacing the LSB of each DCT coefficient of each selected logical block of cover image.

#### STEP 13

The stego-image in the transform domain is then converted into the spatial domain by applying IDCT. The stego-image obtained is similar to the cover image and the difference between the two images is not perceptible by the human eye [6]. This image is actually transmitted to the destination over the open and insecure channel. Fig1 depicts the injection method of the secret message inside the cover image.




Fig. 1. SP-AM algorithm of embedding of secret message

#### B. Retrieval Method

The retrieval of the secret message from the stego-image is done by following the same algorithm applied for embedding the secret message. All the steps described earlier are repeated for the stego-image at the receiving end except the IDCT method, because there is no need to convert the image from transform domain to spatial domain. After the segmentation of the image, 2D-DCT is applied on the stego-image [6]. Then LSBs are extracted from the DCT coefficients of each logical block. After extraction of the entire bit stream of the secret message, the “4-2 swap” randomization function is applied on it. As this function is a reversible function, it re-arranges the bit stream into its original sequence. Now from this bit stream, the secret message is generated. Fig 2 shows the retrieval method of the secret message from the cover image.




Fig. 2. SP-AM algorithm of retrieval of secret message

C. Use of 2D-DCT on Cover Image

The basic idea to hide information in the frequency domain is to alter the magnitude of all of the DCT coefficients of cover image. The 2-D DCT convert the image blocks from spatial domain to frequency domain. The schematic block diagram of the whole process is given in Fig 3 and Fig 4.




Fig. 3. Insertion of a secret message into a cover image




Fig. 4. Retrieval of a secret message into a cover image

Let  $I(x,y)$  denote an 8-bit grayscale cover-image with  $x = 1,2,\dots,M$  and  $y = 1,2,\dots,N$ . This  $M \times N$  cover-image is divided into  $D \times D$  blocks and two-dimensional (2-D) DCT is performed on each of  $L = M \times N / D^2$  blocks.

The mathematical definition of DCT is:

Forward DCT:

$$F(u, v) = \frac{1}{4} C(u)C(v) \sum_{x=0}^7 \sum_{y=0}^7 f(x, y) \cos \left[ \frac{\pi(2x+1)u}{16} \right] \cos \left[ \frac{\pi(2y+1)v}{16} \right] \quad (8)$$

For  $u = 0, \dots, 7$  and  $v = 0, \dots, 7$

$$\text{Where } C(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{for } k = 0 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Inverse DCT:

$$f(x, y) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{u=0}^7 \sum_{v=0}^7 C(u)C(v)F(u, v) \cos \left[ \frac{\pi(2x+1)u}{16} \right] \cos \left[ \frac{\pi(2y+1)v}{16} \right] \quad (9)$$

For  $x = 0, \dots, 7$  and  $y = 0, \dots, 7$

D. 4-2 Swap Text Randomization Method

The secret message is randomized before the embedding process to impart more security to the entire steganography process. The randomization algorithm is described here.

- I. The secret message is taken as input & the length of the message is calculated.
- II. The entire message is segmented into some equal sized cells & padding space if required. Number of cells depends on the total no of characters (Ch) & size of cell(C).
- III. Evaluate the ASCII values for all characters of each part for the secret message.
- IV. Modify the ASCII values by using the Passkey & Threshold value.
- V. Convert each ASCII value into its corresponding 8bits binary value for each character.
- VI. Swap left most 4bits with the right most 4bits binary value.
- VII. Swap first two bits with last two bits for efficient randomization for each binary value.

VIII. Convert each 8bits binary value into its corresponding hexadecimal value.

The block diagram of Fig 5 depicts the text randomization process applied on the secret message before embedding.




Fig. 5. 4-2 swap text randomization method

IV. IMPLEMENTATION OF AN EXAMPLE

A. Illustration of 4-2 swap Text Randomization Method

The text randomization method is illustrated with an example in this section. For example consider the secret message as “the university institute of technology at burdwan”.

Here, total number of characters (Ch) = 49

Suppose the calculated Threshold (T) = 7

The size of Cell(C) = 4+9+7 = 20

Total number of cell required = ceiling (49/20) = 3

Min number of character must contain each cell = ceiling (49/3) = 17

To equalize each cell with same number of character need ((17\*3)-49) = 2 underscores ( ) or spaces ( ) to append after the last character of the secret message.

Now all the cells contain equal number of characters.

Cell 1 = “the university in” = 17

Cell 2 = “statute of techno” = 17

Cell 3 = “logy at burdwan\_\_” = 17

Now for cell 1 the message is “the university in”.

The following table shows that after modification of each character the corresponding ASCII values are:

Table 1. Result of 4-2 Swap Text Randomization Method

Ch ar act ers	Modi fied ASC II	8 Bits Binary Equivalent	4 Bits Swapped Binary Equivalent	First 2bits swap with Last 2bits	Decimal Equivalent ents
t	129	10000001	00011000	00011000	024
h	117	01110101	01010111	11010101	213
e	114	01110010	00100111	11100100	228
	45	00101101	11010010	10010011	147
u	130	10000010	00101000	00101000	040
n	123	01111011	10110111	11110110	246
i	118	01110110	01100111	11100101	229
v	131	10000011	00111000	00111000	056
e	114	01110010	00100111	11100100	228
r	127	01111111	11110111	11110111	247
s	128	10000000	00001000	00001000	008
i	118	01110110	01100111	11100101	229
t	129	10000001	00011000	00011000	024
y	134	10000110	01101000	00101001	041
	45	00101101	11010010	10010011	147
i	118	01110110	01100111	11100101	229
n	123	01111011	10110111	11110110	246

In this fashion the modified decimal equivalent of ASCII values of corresponding characters will generate. Similarly another two tables for Cell2 & Cell3 respectively will also generate. So the secret text is randomized using a unique function which called as 4-2 swap text randomization method. After getting the decimal equivalent values of all the characters, a bit stream is generated from each of them and that bit stream is embedded by replacing the LSBs of all DCT coefficients of the cover image.

B. Algorithm Used for Embedding the Secret Message

The algorithm used for embedding process is illustrated in this section. Here the calculation of the Threshold value is also shown.

STEP 1

Take a string as input & calculate the length.

STEP 2

Calculate the Threshold T

$$T \leftarrow C \% 9$$

$$T \leftarrow T + P_L$$

$$T \leftarrow T \% 9$$

$$T \leftarrow T + [(9-X)((P_L - P_M)/P_L)]$$

(Where P<sub>L</sub>=Original Password Length & P<sub>M</sub>=Minimum Password Length/Passkey).

STEP 3

Calculate the size of unit (u) by adding the digit(s) of the string length and the Threshold (T).

STEP 4

Calculate the total number of unit (U)

$$U \leftarrow \lceil C/u \rceil$$

STEP 5

Calculate Bits per unit (B)

$$B \leftarrow u \times 7 \text{ (Each Character is of 7 bits)}$$

STEP 6

Calculate the dimension of each logical block (D)

$$D \leftarrow \lceil \sqrt{b} \rceil$$

STEP 7

Calculate the Total Dimension (D<sup>2</sup>) & D<sup>2</sup> ≥ B

STEP 8

Take an image as input & calculate number of logical division along with Height (H) & Width (W)

$$M=H/D \text{ \& } N=W/D$$

STEP 9

Calculate the Total no. of Logical blocks (B)

$$B \leftarrow M \times N$$

STEP 10

Generate the block numbers by

$$B_i \leftarrow [(F^i \times u^i) \bmod (B - i)] + i \text{ Where } i = 1 \text{ to } n$$




Fig. 6. A realistic view of block mapping process

STEP 11

Evaluate the ASCII values for all characters of each part for the secret message.

STEP 12

Modify the ASCII values by using the Passkey & Threshold value.

STEP 13

Convert each ASCII value into its corresponding 8bits binary value for each character.

STEP 14

Swap left most 4bits with the right most 4bits binary value.

STEP 15

Swap first two bits with last two bits for efficient randomization for each binary value.

STEP 16

Convert each 8bits binary value into its corresponding hexadecimal value.

STEP 17

Get DCT coefficients of all the D x D logical segments of the cover image.

STEP 18

Replace the LSBs of DCT coefficients by the bits of the modified bit stream of secret message generated by the 4-2 swap randomization method. Fig 7, Fig 8 and Fig 9 shows the secret message, cover image and stego-image respectively.

the university in

Fig. 7. Secret text



Fig. 8. Cover image



Fig. 9. Stego image

This algorithm illustrates the whole embedding process at the sending end. After embedding the secret message, IDCT is applied [6] on the image to convert it from transform domain to spatial domain and the output stego-image is generated.

V. RESULT ANALYSIS

Experimental result shows that 2D-DCT imparts more reliability and security rather than the LSB substitution technique. Some evaluation parameters are used to measure the performance of the algorithm. The common evaluation parameters are [6] [9] MSE, PSNR, Capacity etc.

### Mean Square Error (MSE)

It is defined as the square of error between cover image and stego-image. The distortion in the image can be measured using MSE and is calculated using the following equation

$$MSE = \left[ \frac{1}{N \times N} \right]^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_{ij})^2 \quad (10)$$

Where

X<sub>ij</sub>: The intensity value of the pixel in the cover image.

X̄<sub>ij</sub>: The intensity value of the pixel in the stego image.

N: Size of an Image.

### Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR)

It is the measure of quality of the image by comparing the cover image with the stego-image, i.e., it measures the statistical difference between the cover and stego-image, and is calculated using the following equation.

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{255^2}{MSE} \text{ db} \quad (11)$$

### Capacity

It is the size of the data in a cover image that can be modified without deteriorating the integrity of the cover image. The steganography embedding operation needs to preserve the statistical properties of the cover image in addition to its perceptual quality. Capacity is represented by bits per pixel (bpp) and the Maximum Hiding Capacity (MHC) in terms of percentage [6].

Experimental result shows that the new approach using DCT coefficient is better than that of the earlier method using LSB substitution technique. Taking the picture of Lena and converting it into a 800×600 dimensional image, the following table shows the MSE values for 3 different block sizes.

Table 2. Experimental Result

Image Block Size	Technique	MHC (%)	PSNR (db)	MSE
150x150	LSB	55	39.56	32.45
	DCT	57	37.82	32.38
200x200	LSB	46	38.58	32.28
	DCT	48	32.62	32.14
400x400	LSB	34	35.90	28.98
	DCT	34	32.46	26.87

## VI. CONCLUSION

This steganography algorithm implies a multi-level security because before embedding the characters of the secret text message into a cover image using 2D-DCT, the entire text is segmented first into multiple cells and each segment of secret message are randomized at bit level. This randomization or modification is done using a

unique function which is a reversible function, i.e. the function is able to re-generate the original sequence from the randomized output. Again all the segments of text are randomly inserted into different regions of the cover image. A bit-stuffing method is used to make the number of characters of each unit equal. A new pseudo-random sequence generator function is used to generate a pseudo-random sequence to embed each of the unit of secret message into the logical square regions or blocks of the cover image in a pseudo-random fashion. Using the same pseudo-random sequence, extraction can be done to get the original message. As mentioned earlier, the input value named Threshold (T) of the pseudo-random sequence generator function depends on the secret password and a passkey known to the two intended parties (sender and receiver) only. As these are secret values, another level of security is also imposed here. This method can be improved again by increasing the strength of threshold value generation function, modifying the method of calculating the total number of characters in each unit of message and also by imposing the randomization function (4-2 swap randomization method) at the character level as well as at the bit level. Here 2D-DCT method is implied instead of LSB substitution technique in this extended version of the previous paper.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank all the anonymous reviewers who helped refine the state of this paper. The authors would also like to acknowledge the Department of Computer Science and Engineering & Information Technology, University Institute of Technology, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan - 713104, West Bengal, INDIA for supporting by their library and laboratory.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Huang W, Zhao Y and Rong-Rong Ni, Block-based Adaptive Image Steganography using LSB Matching Revisited, Journal of Electronic Science and Technology, Vol. 9 (4), 2011.
- [2] Luo W, Huang F and Huang J, Edge Adaptive Image Steganography Based on LSB Matching Revisited, IEEE.
- [3] Transaction on Information Forensics and Security, Vol. 5 (4), June 2010.
- [4] S. Pujari, S. Mukhopadhyay, "An Image based Steganography Scheme Implying Pseudo-Random Mapping of Text Segments to Logical Region of Cover Image using a New Block Mapping Function and Randomization Technique", International Journal of Computer Applications (0975 – 8887) Volume 50 – No.2, July 2012.
- [5] Yang C and Wang S, Transforming LSB Substitution for Image-based Steganography in Matching Algorithms, Journal of Information Science and Engineering 26, 1199-1212, 2010.
- [6] Shiva Kumar K B, Raja K B, Chhotaray R K, Pattanaik S, Bit Length Replacement Steganography Based On DCT Coefficients, International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology, Vol. 2 (8), 3561-3570, 2010.

- [7] T Mrkel, JHP Eloff and MS Olivier."An Overview of Image Steganography," in proceedings of the fifth annual Information Security South Africa Conference, 2005.
- [8] L.Y. Por and B. Delina, "Information Hiding: A New Approach in Text Steganography", 7th WSEAS International Conference on Applied Computer & Applied Computational Science, April 2008, pp- 689-695.
- [9] Analysis of LSB Based Image Steganography Techniques, R. Chandramouli, Nasir Memon, Proc. IEEE ICIP, 2001.
- [10] J.Y. Hsiao. C.C. Chang. and C.-S. Chan. Finding optimal least significant- bit substitution in image hiding by dynamic programming strategy. Pattern Recognition, 36:1583–1595, 2003.
- [11] Potdar V.and Chang E. Gray level modification steganography for secret communication. In IEEE International Conference on Industria Informatics, pages 355–368, Berlin, Germany, 2004.
- [12] HongmeiTang, GaochanJin, Cuixia Wu and Peijiao Song. (2010): "A New Image Encryption and Steganography Scheme," *IEEEInternational Conference on Computer and Communication Security*, pp 60 – 63.
- [13] Mahdi Ramezani and Shahrokh Ghaemmaghami. (2010): "Adaptive Image Steganography with Mod-4 Embedding using Image Contrast", *IEEE Consumer Communication And Networking Conference*.
- [14] Cheng-Hsing Yang, ShiuH-Jeng Wang, "Transforming LSB Substitution for Image-based Steganography in Matching Algorithms", *Journal of Information Science and Engineering* 26, 1199-1212 (2010).
- International and National Journals / Conferences. One ME (CSE) scholar has already been awarded in the field of Image Steganography under his guidance. His research interests include Computer Security & Cryptography, Image Processing & Pattern Recognition, Database Management Systems, Web Technologies, Artificial Intelligence & Expert Systems, Networking & Distributed Computing, Computer Graphics & Multimedia, Nanotechnology etc.

### Authors' Profiles



**Anupam Mondal** received his Bachelor of Engineering (B.E) Degree in Information Technology (IT) in 2012 and Master of Engineering (M.E) Degree in Computer Science and Engineering (CSE) in 2014 from University Institute of Technology, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan – 713104, West Bengal, India.

His main research interest includes Image Processing, Steganography and Steganalysis, Artificial Intelligence & Expert Systems, Networking & Distributed Computing, Computer Graphics & Multimedia.



**Shiladitya Pujari** is a member of IEEE (USA), IAENG(Hong Kong), CSTA (New York,USA), IACSIT (Singapore). At present he is working as an Assistant Professor at Department of IT in University Institute of Technology, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan – 713104, West Bengal, India. He has received his B.Tech Degree in

Information Technology (IT) from RCC Institute of Information Technology, 15 Canal South Road, Beliaghata (University of Kalyani, India) in 2003, Master of Engineering (ME) degree in Information Technology from West Bengal University of Technology, India in December 2005 and currently pursuing Ph.D at Department of Computer Science, The University of Burdwan, Burdwan, WB, India.

He possesses an experience of more than 8 years in the field of teaching and research. He has published nearly 15 papers in